Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/803,549	SANDHOLM ET AL.	
Examiner	**Art Unit**	
Yogesh C. Garg	3625	

All Participants:

(1) *Yogesh C. Garg*.

(2) *Randy Notzen*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *5 November 2008*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Notzen agreed in his discussions with the Examiner for issuing an Examiner's Amendment to amend claims 1, 24 and cancle claims 3 and 26.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yogesh C Garg/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)